

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 09/694,653 | 10/23/2000 | John Overman | 5384/55100 3830 | | |
| 7590 09/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| KEITH E GEORGE, ESQ. | | | FOX, CHARLES A | | |
| 600 13TH STR | T, WILL & EMERY REET, N.W. | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3652 | | |
| | N, DC 20005-3096 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 09/694,653 | OVERMAN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Charles A. Fox | 3652 | |

| Before the riling of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | Charles A. Fox | 3652 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dangle. | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | w); | | _ |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | moliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | (|
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will will will will will will will wi | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>24,32,34,60-63,66 and 68</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>24,32,34,60-65,60 and 66.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7,16,29-31,33,35,36,51-59,65,67</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15,19-23,25-28 and 66.</u> | <u>and 69-71</u> . <u>nd 37-39</u> . | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | it or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 13. [] Other | _ | fall | \sim |
| | Ell | LEEN D. LILLIS | 3 |

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600